

## ECER 2018: Bolzano

### Network 18: Research in Sport Pedagogy – Network Meeting

Thursday 6<sup>th</sup> September 2018

<b>AGENDA:</b>	
1. Welcome from Network convenor and introduce the Network team	<p>FC welcomed everyone and introduced/thanked the co-convenors.</p> <p>It was noted that there were two individuals joining the convenor board – Kyriaki Makopoulou (University of Birmingham) and Wesley O'Brien (University College Cork). Marie Ohman is stepping down from the convenor team and was thanked for her contribution. The convenor team now stands as: Dr. Fiona Chambers; Dr Rachel Sandford; Dr. Antonio Calderon; Dr. Oliver Hooper; Dr. Goran Gerdin; Dr. Wesley O'Brien; Dr. Kyriaki Makapoulou.</p> <p>Attendees: Fiona Chambers, Rachel Sandford, Oliver Hooper, Antonio Calderon, Kyriaki Makopoulou, Wesley O'Brien, Gillian O'Sullivan, Annika Caldeborg, Marie Ohman, Goran Gerdin, Eirik Aarskog, Terese Silva Dias</p>
2. Approval of Minutes from previous ECER meeting (Copenhagen 2017) [circulated]	Yes – no changes needed.
3. N18 activities (2017/18)	<p><i>Cork symposium.</i></p> <p>An overview of the symposium was given, and it was noted that a number of participants from across 9 countries attended. Led to the development of CREATE principles for research with/for youth (which will be shared in a workshop at this conference). The outputs from the symposium are a number of conference presentations, some journal articles (in process) and a proposed edited book. Some follow-up funding is being sought to continue the work.</p>

#### 4. Overview of ECER 2018

It was noted that N18 had received 50 abstract submissions (including 3 redirected abstracts); 3 were rejected and 5 were withdrawn post-acceptance.

FC thanked people involved in the reviewing for ECER 2018 and asked them to reflect on the process, considering whether there were any issues/challenges or thoughts about how this might be improved.

Some comments/suggestions were given:

- Some variance still in abstract style – not all meet criteria
- Some very short abstracts, lacking in detail
- Abstract quality does not always match the subsequent presentation

MO noted she would like to step down from reviewing. Reviewer team now stands as all convenors plus: Joao Costa, Maude Heitzge, Lourdes Merono

#### Comments:

- Good to have joint sessions with other networks – but the group does get a little disparate. How do we keep an identity as a network? Don't want to lose links/connections
- Health Ed seems to have moved away from N18 (reflects broader trends?). More links needed with N8 – joint sessions (but not parallel)?
- Link convenors (or others) as discussants in symposium discussions (to give different perspectives).
- Can make more of discussant role – add time for debate. Round table discussion.
- How to make presentations visible in conference app.
- More general discussions – topics of interest across the network. E.g. Research Methods – funding (KM mentioned Gorard); theoretical frameworks (OH)
- WO'B – pedagogy in practice is not as evident within discussions in this conference. Some agreement here – emphasise this in abstract guidance.
- Can we have a mini keynote in N18 session? (KM). FC mentioned we have had this for the last 2 years. Perhaps build on this? Lead to project...
- Great to have social media presence up to date in conference time

	<p><u>General</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• App better, but you can't search by network.</li> <li>• Logistical issues – need to travel cuts down time for discussion</li> <li>• Very efficient, helpful staff, appropriate venue, wifi good</li> <li>• Welcome reception was well received</li> <li>• Some concerns that there is English bias (submission and presentation) Different formats: Posters? Specialist sessions? Translation? Round table? Round table following a poster session? Practical sessions? Links with schools? Mentoring (pre-conference). Can we learn from ECR team? Do we need new ways of submitting -to highlight presenter needs?</li> <li>• Lack of EU focus</li> <li>• Presentations sometimes don't match abstracts (guidance for abstract needed)</li> </ul>
5. ECER 2019 Activities	Funding bid for ECER monies. Intention to put a funding bid in for ECER funding (Nov) – perhaps around Research Methods. Possibly at Loughborough University (UK) but other suggestions were welcomed. TBC
6. Information from network convenors meeting	Ignite talks – replacing Pecha Kucha. Funding for network activities available – N18 will go for follow-up funding to progress the CREATE work.
7. N18 events (newsletter; social media; membership; mailing list)	FC highlighted the need for outputs to come from the activities we do. Asked how we might keep contact between sessions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More consistent social media presence</li> <li>• Update website and keep in touch- GG noted that we need to know why we want to do this. Have a purpose to drive action. Make sure there is not an overload on people – able to tie in when/how they need.</li> <li>• Encourage N18 members to raise questions/give responses. How do we gauge interest in what people want? Survey?</li> <li>• Seek to put together a position statement? Clarify our purpose... allows us to reinforce focus on pedagogy, methods, theories...</li> <li>• Webinars – discussions around key issues [attendees encouraged to check access to webinar</li> </ul>

	<p>platform]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Look to have mid-point meeting/event – symposium (linked to funding)</li> <li>• N18 Blog? Helps members to share what they are doing – further discussion to follow.</li> </ul>
8. Future conferences (ECER 2019 – Hamburg; ECER 2020 – Glasgow; ECER 2021 – Vienna)	<p>Recommend early booking. Dates given, flyers handed out.</p>
9. AOB	<p>We discussed Honorary Membership of N18 and Kathy Armour was identified as a candidate for this. There was agreement from attendees that we should proceed with the process for applying for this.</p> <p>FC proposed RS to take over link convenor duties next year, following her appointment as secretary general of AIESEP. No objections given.</p> <p>There was a suggestion that we should have drinks/food for the network meeting next year.</p>